

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,333	02/20/2004		Richard A. Clark	20674.0005	6893
7590 02/04/2005				EXAMINER	
Daniel J. Warren				DATSKOVSKIY, MICHAEL V	
Sutherland Asbill & Brennan LLP 999 Peachtree St., NE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Atlanta, GA		2835			

DATE MAILED: 02/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
,	10/783,333	CLARK ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael V. Datskovskiy	2835					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timety. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•						
2a)☑ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware	<u>'</u>						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 22-24 and 46-62 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 50-57 and 60 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 22-24,46-49,61 and 62 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.	·					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a) accepted or b) objected or by objected or by objected or abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati nty documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	A) 🔲 Interniona Succession	(PTO 442)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/783,333 Page 2

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 01/19/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. According to the description (the same paragraph 64 cited by the applicant), in the current application a mobile station does not comprise both – a computing device and a medical device. On the contrary: it comprises one or another device. Hence, the resent amendment adds a new matter to the claims. Examiner directs applicant's attention to the fact that while a medical mobile unit comprising a tiltable computer docking station is probably patentable, a tiltable display screen is definitely not. Most of computers (laptops or desktops) displays are tiltable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 22 and 23-24, 46-49, 58-59 and 61-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. According to the description a mobile station does not comprise both a computing device and a medical device. On the contrary: it comprises one or another device. Regarding to the claims 61 and 62: According to the description the power unit is self monitored

(paragraphs 61, 92, 136). There is no any description of how the power unit is monitored by the computing device.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 50-57, 60 are allowed.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Phoon et al (US Patent 6,339,732) and Curtis et al (US Patent 5,536,084) both teach a mobile medical computer workstation comprising a medical device and a computer device with a tiltable display screen.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2835

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Datskovskiy whose telephone number is (571) 272-2040. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild can be reached on (571) 272-2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

land Jaktley

Michael V Datskovskiy Primary Examiner Art Unit 2835

02/03/2005